

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,737	05/19/2004	Reimund Becht	P-US-PR 1099	8574
7590 11/23/2005		•	EXAMINER	
Michael P. Leary			TRUONG, THANH K	
Black & Decker Corporation Mail Stop TW199			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
701 E. Joppa Rd.			3721	
Towson, MD 21286			DATE MAIL ED. 11/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/849,737	BECHT ET AL. Art Unit				
Onice Action Guilliary	Examiner					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thanh K. Truong	3721				
Period for Reply	gars on the dovor shoot with the s	on coponium on a unit coc				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	<u>eptember 2005</u> .					
,- -	·					
·	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/849,737

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on September 6,
 2005.

2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-14 is acknowledged.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The Abstract is objected to because of the following informalities: the abstract contains legal phraseology such as: "means" and "said". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 19 and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities: the first letter "a" of claims 19 and 26 must be capitalized. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chang (5,273,120).

Chang discloses an apparatus comprising:

a power tool housing (3) that includes member (25) disposed within the housing and is extending along axis (15) at the distal end of the housing (figures 1 & 2);

a handle (7, 5);

a first handle connecting member (13) (on the right hand side of figures 1 & 2) having a first axis (15) (on the right hand side of figures 1 & 2) – the first handle connecting member includes member (28) disposed within the handle connecting member;

a second handle connecting member (13) (on the left hand side of figures 1 & 2) having a second axis (15) (on the left hand side of figures 1 & 2) – the second handle connecting member includes member (28) disposed within the handle connecting member – the second axis non-parallel to the first axis (axis (15) of each handle connecting member forms an angle (36) with the longitudinal axis (40) of the housing, thus the first axis and the second axis are not parallel to each other);

Art Unit: 3721

a first vibration damping element (27) (on the right hand side of figures 1 & 2) between the first handle connecting member (13) and the power tool housing (as explained above, member (25) is construed as portion of the housing of the power tool); and

a second vibration damping element (27) (on the left hand side of figures 1 & 2) between the second handle connecting member (13) and the power tool housing.

Chang further discloses:

wherein the first vibration damping element and the second vibration damping element are made of an elastomeric material (column 2, lines 27-28 and column 4, lines 3-4 (claim 4));

wherein the first (and second) vibration damping element is a first (and second) annular member defining a first (and second) aperture having a first (and second) aperture axis (column 2, lines 19-20);

wherein the first (and second) handle connecting member (28) extends coaxially through the aperture of the first (and second) vibration damping element (as explained above, member (28) is construed as the portion of the handle connecting member); and wherein the first aperture axis and the second aperture axis are non-parallel.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references of Ekstrom et al. (2,831,463) and WO 93/11912 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tkt

November 13, 2005.

Stephen F. Gerrity
Primary Examiner